

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 4511 Alexandri, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspib.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,628	01/07/2004	Rajendra K. Shah	60,246-301; 10,832	5972
26096 7590 12/29/2006 CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			EXAMINER	
400 WEST MA		,	KASENGE, CHARLES R	
SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/29/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/752,628	SHAH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles R. Kasenge	2125			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 September 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-7 and 9-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-3 5 6 19-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7,9-14 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2004</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 9/26/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of the claim(s) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Turner et al. U.S. Patent 5,518,176.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim should be written in a more organized manner. Examiner suggests putting the "outdoor unit" limitation with "indoor unit" limitation. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 17 recites the limitation "said plurality of HVAC units" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/752,628

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 7, 9-14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Turner et al. U.S. Patent 5,518,176. Regarding claim 7, Turner discloses an HVAC system (abstract) comprising: an indoor unit having a control operable to communicate characteristic information of said indoor unit to a central control (col. 3, lines 56-58); and said central control communicating with said indoor unit, and said central control receiving said characteristic information from said indoor unit, and determining an optimal control strategy for said indoor unit based upon said reported characteristic information, said central control storing a plurality of optimal control strategies, and selecting a particular one of said optimal strategies to utilize based upon the particular characteristic information reported from said indoor unit (col. 1, lines 12-25; col. 3 and 4, lines 56-25); an outdoor unit having a control operable to communicate characteristic information of said outdoor unit to said central control (col. 3, lines 58-60).

Regarding claims 9-14, Turner discloses the system as set forth in claim 8, wherein said central control is mounted on a unit other than said indoor and outdoor units (Fig. 1, #20). Turner discloses the system as set forth in claim 9, wherein said central control is mounted in a thermostat (Fig. 1, #20). Turner discloses the system as set forth in claim 8, wherein said central control also receives characteristic information from auxiliary equipment (col. 2 and 3, lines 65-18). Turner discloses the system as set forth in claim 11, wherein said central control receives

Application/Control Number: 10/752,628

Art Unit: 2125

characteristic information from a ventilation device (col. 2 and 3, lines 65-18). Turner discloses the system as set forth in claim 11, wherein zoning controls provide characteristic information to said central control (col. 7, lines 26-35). Turner discloses the system as set forth in claim 11, wherein said control receives characteristic information from a connectivity kit (col. 2, lines 14-22).

Page 4

Regarding claims 16 and 18, Turner discloses the system as set forth in claim 8, wherein said characteristic information from said indoor and said outdoor units comes to said central control over a single data bus (Fig. 5). Turner discloses the system as set forth in claim 8, wherein at least one auxiliary component is mounted to at least one of said indoor and outdoor units, with said control for one of said indoor and outdoor units identifying characteristics of said auxiliary component, and reports said identified characteristic of said auxiliary component to said central control (col. 3, lines 56-60).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 1-3 5, 6 and 19-21 are allowed.
- 9. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles R. Kasenge whose telephone number is 571 272-3743. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CK

December 20, 2006

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

L-P.P__